"Demographic aspects of human wellbeing" Wittgenstein Centre Conference 2019, Poster Sessions

# **Unhappy Obesity and Happy Obesity**

Kazuma Sato, Ph D, (Takusyoku University) ksqwt864@gmail.com

### **1.Introduction**

- Obesity has been identified as a serious issue in many developed countries due to its rapid prevalence. Such an extensive increase in the prevalence of obesity is considered to be deeply related with serious illnesses (Latner et al. 2013). In addition, many researchers have been examined the socioeconomic factors related to obesity, showing that obesity is negatively correlated with the outcomes of marriage and labor markets (Averett and Korenmann 1996; Cawley et al. 2006).
- In contrast, the relationship between obesity and self-rated health (SRH) is controversial. The results of studies using data from Western countries showed a negative association between obesity and SRH (Herman et al. 2013; Imai et al. 2008; Mota et al. 2012). However, the results using data from Asian countries, such as China, revealed a positive association between obesity and SRH (Noh et al. 2017).
- Most researchers point out that the findings obtained from China can be explained by the differences in the perception of obesity. According to Chinese traditions, obesity is positively perceived because only wealthy people could afford to buy food and gain weight (Noh et al 2017; Zhang et al. 2016). Obesity was considered as a sign of prosperity. This suggests that the impact of obesity on health varies according to differences in the perception of obesity.
- This relationship can also be applied to the effects of obesity on subjective well-being (SWB). However, comparative studies that take into account the differences in the perception of obesity due to cultural backgrounds are limited. Whether or not the relationship between obesity and SWB is also different in the Western countries and China is an interesting issue.
- The purpose of this study examines the relationship between obesity and SWB between the United States and China.

# 2. Data

The Data used in this study is "Preference Parameters Study" carried out by Osaka University.(Data is available at <a href="http://www.iser.osaka-u.ac.jp/survey\_data/eng\_application.html">http://www.iser.osaka-u.ac.jp/survey\_data/eng\_application.html</a>).
The present study utilized the data of the United States and China from 2009 to 2013.

	<b>Cross-section or Panel</b>	Survey Period	Total Sample	Respondent	Region
China	Panel Data	2009-2013	5,139	men and women aged 20-69 years old	Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Chengdu, Wuhan, Shenyang
<b>United States</b>	Panel Data	2005-2013	40,806	men and women aged 18-99 years old	All region

# **3. Econometric Model**

$$H_{it} = \alpha + \beta Obesity_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

*H<sub>it</sub>* : subjectively rated happiness of individual *i* at time *t* ⇒larger numbers indicate higher happiness Obesity *Obesity<sub>it</sub>* :BMI or the dummy variables of obesity

 $X_{it}$  :individual attributes (age, age squared, marital status, number of children, educational attainment, natural log of household income, the dummy variable of family register (1 if a respondent has an urban family register and 0 if other options are selected (only for China.))  $\mu_i$  :unobserved individual fixed effect,  $\varepsilon_{it}$  :error term

# 4. Results

**1.** Effects of obesity on happiness as estimated by pooled OLS

#### Dependent variable

**3.** Effect of obesity on happiness estimated by PSM

		∎. ∎				€	<b>_</b>		I		<b>C1</b> ·				11	- -	1 •		<del></del> .	1 0	
Dependent variable		Happiness							China: men		United States: men			С	China: women			United States: women			
	China	a: men	United St	tates: men	China:	women	United Stat	tes: women													
									Overweight or obese	0.226***	0.228***	* 0.234***	-0.012	-0.061	-0.036	0.016	-0.006	-0.013	-0.028	-0.057	-0.028
Underweight	-0.014		-0.072		-0.323***		-0.469***			(0.067)	(0.069)	(0.068)	(0.047)	(0.061)	(0.062)	(0.072)	(0.076)	(0.076)	(0.045)	(0.049)	(0.055)
	(0.146)		(0.273)		(0.092)		(0.169)														
Overweight	0.251***		-0.002		0.051		-0.045		Estimation methods	Pooled	PSM	PSM	Pooled	PSM (1-cm-1)	PSM	Pooled	PSM	PSM	Pooled	PSM	PSM
6	(0.070)		(0.062)		(0.077)		(0.065)		R2	0LS 0.053	(kernel)	(radius)	0LS 0 342	(kernel)	(radius)	015	(kernel)	(radius)	0LS 0.369	(kernel)	(radius)
Obese	-0.034		-0.269***		-0.256		-0.347***		Observations	0.022	2,580		0.512	6,356		0.010	2,582		0.507	6,736	
	(0.181)		(0.069)		(0.169)		(0.066)			ota of	ahaa		hom		a						~
BMI	(00101)	0.020**	(0000)	-0.023***	(0010))	-0.011	(0.000)	-0.020***	<b>4.</b> Elle	cls of	odes	ity on	nap	pines	s as e	suma		Jy Ff		): La	g
		(0, 0.020)	(0.025)	(0.025)		(0.011)	0.	(0,004)	obesity variables are used												
		(0.007)	(0.005)				(0.001)	Dependent varia	able					Happ	piness (t)	)					
Estimation method				Poole	1015						China:	: men	Un	ited Stat	es: men	C	hina: wo	omen	United	States:	women
R7	0.033	0.031	0 064	0.065	0.024	0.020	0 064	0.063	Under weight (t-1	-0	.225		0.2	312		-0.1	.53		0.43	4* 20)	
N2 Observations	0.035 7 5	0.031 580	6.004	356	0.024 2 5	0.020 582	6.004	136	Over weight (t-1)	0.6	.209) 72***		(0 0.2	233		(0.2)	29) )7*		-0.14	9) 14	
	۷,۰	000	0,.	550	۷,۰	002	0,7		$O_{\text{bases}}(t, 1)$	(0	.256)		(0.	158)		(0.2)	09) 72		(0.16	59) 72	
2. Effect	s of obe	esity or	ı happi	iness as	s estima	ated by	y FE O	LS	Obese (t-1)	0.8 (0	.371)		0.0 (0.2	206)		(0.5)	73 80)		-0.1 (0.21	0)	
Dependent variable			Hanniness				BMI (t-1)			0.174**	*		-0.000			0.003		-	-0.004		
	China: men		United States: men		China: women		United States: women					(0.062)			(0.010)		(	(0.036)		(	(0.008)
	Chine				Cinita.				Health indicators	•	Yes	Yes	Y	es	Yes	Ye	2S	Yes	Yes	S	Yes
Under weight	-0.032		0.543*		-0.149		-0.034		Estimation metho	od					FE	EOLS					
U	(0.133)		(0.307)		(0.198)		(0.219)		R2 Observations	0	.114	0.107	0.	156	0.154	0.0	85	0.077	0.10	2 0 2 1	0.103
Over weight	0.239		0.130		0.198*		-0.085		Observations		1,4. DPP	<u> </u>	• 4	3,04	+	•	1,403	)		3,931	
	(0.165)		(0.121)		(0.111)		(0.129)			5.	Effec	et of o	besit	y on I	happ	iness	by ag	ge gro	oups		
Obese	0.804***		0.115		0.254		0.016		Dependent variable	China			TT.:4- J	<u>Ctataa</u>	Happines	SS Ch:			T Laita	1 Ctata an an	
	(0.208)		(0.171)		(0.374)		(0.169)		Ur	nder 45	Over 4	15	Under 45	States: men Ove	er 45	Under 45	na: women Ov	er 45	Under 4:	5 States: W	Over 45
BMI		0.124**		-0.000		0.004		-0.007	Under weight -0.04	5	0.309*	0.0	47	0.781*	-(	0.164	0.262		-0.138	0.0	57
		(0.049)		(0.012)		(0.035)		(0.012)	Over weight 0.15	4) 6	(0.159) 0.305**	(0.4	04) 58	(0.435) 0.140	(C -(	).214) ).082	(0.332) 0.259**	<	(0.295) -0.316	(0.3	11) 63
									(0.334 Observed 0.679	4) *	(0.151)	(0.1	69)	(0.172)	(0	).260)	(0.116)	1	(0.198)	(0.1)	70) 20
Health indicators	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	(0.392) (0.392)	2)	(0.183)	(0.2	18 21)	(0.255)	0.	.515* ).309)	0.062 (0.486)	1	-0.459* (0.276)	(0.2	30 217)
									BMI	0.046 (0.072)	0. (	.178*** (0.067)	0.01 (0.01	.8 .9)	-0.012 (0.015)	-0.08 (0.05	82 59)	0.059 (0.041)	-0. (0	.040* .021)	0.012 (0.015)
Estimation method			FE OLS				Health indicators Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes V	es Ye	s Yes	Yes	Yes Yes	s Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes Ve	es Yes		
R2	0.041	0.044	0.131	0.130	0.060	0.058	0.110	0.110	Estimation mathed												
Observations	2,5	580	6,	356	2,5	582	6,7	736	R2 0.052	2 0.051	0.077	0.085 0.1	50 0.15	50 0.121	6.119 C	<b>)</b> .080 0.08	.0.075	0.073	0.165 0	.166 0.0	90 0.089
									Observations	1,334	1,246	5	2,980	3,	376	1,277	1,	306	2,011		2,110

## **5.** Conclusion

Men who are obese in China are happier even after controlling the time-invariant individual heterogeneity. Beside, these effects are stronger in older age groups than younger age groups in China.