A microsimulation model for population projections in official statistics WiC Colloquium

**Pauline Pohl, Philip Slepecki** Statistics Austria

Martin Spielauer Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO)

Vienna, 10 May 2023

www.statistik.at





Independent statistics for evidence-based decision making

### Background

- Microsimulation is not new (Orcutt, 1957, Orcutt et al., 1961)
- Neither is its use for population projections (Van Imhoff and Post, 1998)
- Many applications (e.g. LSD-C model (Bélanger et al., 2018), Demosim (Statistics Canada, 2022), MOSART (Andreassen et al., 2020), DESTINIE (Blanchet et al., 2011), MikroSim (Münnich et al., 2021)) → several NSIs have microsimulation models in their "toolbox"
- Still, official population projections are rarely computed using microsimulation methods
- Cohort component method remains standard model

### Cohort-component method (CCM)

The **cohort-component method** is the standard tool for the production of **population projections** in **official statistics** 

- computationally simple
- does not require a broad range of input data
- well established in the literature

However, it cannot:

- account for complex and dynamic (demographic) processes
- model interactions between individuals
- produce results for a variety of individual-level characteristics (only aggregates)

### Microsimulation for population projections

In the microsimulation, individual life-courses are simulated over time

- $\rightarrow$  build on the **characteristics of individuals** instead of cohorts
- $\rightarrow$  simulation of (somewhat) realistic life paths
- → can model **complex** (demographic) **processes and interactions**

### Method Simulating demographic events

- The cohort-component method uses **event rates** to determine the projected paths of fertility, mortality and migration (e.g. mortality rates by age, sex, domestic/foreign-born)
- In our microsimulation model, these rates are converted into **waiting times** using the inversion method (inverse transform sampling)
  - $\rightarrow$  Each person is assigned waiting times based on their individual characteristics
  - $\rightarrow$  The event with the shortest waiting time is realised
  - $\rightarrow$  As soon as an event occurs, one of the characteristics of the simulated person changes
  - $\rightarrow$  Based on the new characteristics, new waiting times are assigned for all events

### Evolution of a simulated life course



### Implementation

- Start by replicating CCM results using microsimulation, then gradually develop and extend individual model elements
- Dynamic, case-based model, continuous time
- We use administrative (register) data for the entire Austrian population
   → model could also be applied to a large, representative sample or a synthetic population
- Our model is implemented using Modgen<sup>1</sup>, a microsimulation programming language developed at Statistics Canada, and coded in Visual Studio (Microsoft)

<sup>1</sup>https://www.statcan.gc.ca/en/microsimulation/modgen/modgen

### First model extension: International emigration

- In place of emigration rates, estimate piecewise constant hazards for emigration by sex and country of birth (clustered into 17 groups)
- Input variables: age, federal province of residence, duration of stay

- $\rightarrow$  Easy to implement, does not require much additional data
- $\rightarrow$  Relevant because emigration patterns differ based on individual characteristics

### Differences in emigration behaviour by country of birth and duration of stay

### Example: 18 year old male immigrates to Austria and lives in Vienna



*Hazard*: Rate at which a person emigrates in a given time interval. *Survival*: Proportion of individuals who do not emigrate until a given point in time.

#### www.statistik.at

### Model validation

Comparing the cohort-component method with the microsimulation model in an ex-post validation



# Projected and observed population of Austria 2013-2021, based on the cohort-component method vs. the microsimulation model



# Projected and observed emigration from Austria 2013-2021, based on the cohort-component method vs. the microsimulation model



## Model extensions

### Modules for education and employment

- Model demographic processes dependent on individual-level education and employment characteristics; e.g. modelling women's fertility dependent on their education level and employment status
- Produce projections for educational attainment/enrollment and employment status
- Include additional (register) data
- More interactions between individuals; e.g. passing the information of mother's education level/place of birth to the child

### Special case: Modelling the impact of the war in Ukarine

- Three phases:
  - 1. phase of increased immigration and reduced emigration
  - 2. phase of increased return migration and family reunification
  - 3. phase of immigration and emigration as before the war
- Microsimulation allows us to model more complex processes, e.g. family reunification

   → In the 2022 projection, we were able to include assumptions on future immigration of male partners of female Ukrainian refugees in Austria based on survey data (UkrAiA survey; Kohlenberger et al., 2022)

### Drawbacks and conclusion

### Drawbacks

- Fundamental methodological change, requiring:
  - a deeper understanding of model building
  - advanced statistical programming and data analysis skills
  - more resources and computation capacities.
- Model extensions require additional (administrative/register) data
- New modules require more assumptions for future developments, e.g. which school type will be popular in the future
- Issues related to small-scale regional projections

### **Concluding remarks**

- Using microsimulation for population projections allows for the modelling of more complex and dynamic (demographic) processes
- Unlike the standard cohort-component method, microsimulation can produce results for a variety of individual-level characteristics. Hence, it can be more useful for policy and planning than CCM
- Flexibility to implement new modules as well as more complex scenarios

### References

Andreassen, L., Fredriksen, D., Gjefsen, H.M., Halvorsen, E. and Stølen, N.M. (2020) The dynamic cross-sectional microsimulation model MOSART, *International Journal of Microsimulation*, 13(1): 92-113.

Bélanger, A., Sabourin, P., Vézina, S., Marois, G., D'Ovidio, K., Pelletier, D. and Lafontaine, O. (2018) *The Canadian microsimulation model (LSD-C): Content, modules, and some preliminary results* Working Paper, Montréal: Institut national de la recherche scientifique.

Blanchet, D., Buffeteau, S., Crenner, E. and Le Minez, S. (2011) Le modèle de microsimulation Destinie 2 : principales caractéristiques et premiers résultats, *Economie et Statistique*, 441-442: 101-121.

Kohlenberger, J., Buber-Ennser, I., Rengs, B., Setz, I. and Riederer, B. (2022) "UkrAiA Abschlussbericht Stadt Wien" – Final project report / presentation for the city of Vienna [Online]. Available at: https://www.ukraia.at/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/ukraia\_final\_report\_city\_of\_vienna.pdf

Münnich, R., Schnell, R., Brenzel, H., Dieckmann, H., Dräger, S., Emmenegger, J., Höcker, P., Kopp, J., Merkle, H., Neufang, K., Obersneider, M., Reinhold, J., Schaller, J., Schmaus, S. and Stein, P. (2021) A Population Based Regional Dynamic Microsimulation of Germany: The MikroSim Model, *methods, data, analyses*, 15(2): 241-264.

Orcutt, G.H. (1957) A New Type of Socio-economic System, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 39: 116-123.

Orcutt, G.H., Greenberger, M., Korbel, J. and Rivlin, A. (1961) *Microanalysis of socioeconomic systems : A simulation study*, Harper & Row: New York.

Statistics Canada (2022) *Projections of the Indigenous populations and households in Canada, 2016 to 2041: Overview of data sources, methods, assumptions and scenarios* [Online]. Available at: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/17-20-0001/172000012021001-eng.htm

Van Imhoff, E. and Post, W. (1998) Microsimulation Methods for Population Projection, *Population: An English Selection*, 10(1): 97-138.

### For further information please contact:

Pauline Pohl +43 1 71128 8007 pauline.pohl@statistik.gv.at

Philip Slepecki +43 1 71128 7623 philip.slepecki@statistik.gv.at

Martin Spielauer +43 1 798 26 01 246 martin.spielauer@wifo.ac.at