Assessing Population Health: A Closer Look at the Healthy Life Years Indicator

Markus Sauerberg¹

¹Federal Institute for Population Research Wiesbaden, Germany

Farewell Colloquium at the Wittgenstein Centre for Demography and Global Human Capital (IIASA, OeAW, University of Vienna) 19.01.2022





About 4 years research on the Healthy Life Years (HLY) indicator



Source: Mortality data comes from the Human Mortality Database (HMD).

э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Marc Luy's research team on the ERC project "Levels and trends of health expectancy: understanding its measurement and estimation sensitivity" (LETHE)



What is Health Expectancy and why do we need it?

Figure: Period LE for Austria

Most European countries such as Austria have experienced gains in longevity.

The question is: Are they living longer in good or poor health?

The idea is: Let's have something like life expectancy (LE) but adjusted for health \rightarrow health expectancy (HE).



Source: Own illustration with data from Statistik Austria

There are many ways to construct HE, the EU relies on one specific way, i.e., HLY

- HLY combines period life tables (i.e, LE is basis) with prevalence data from the European Union Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) in accordance with the Sullivan method (1971).
- Health survey question: Global Activity Limitation Indicator (GALI).
 - "For at least the past 6 months, to what extent have you been limited because of a health problem in activities people usually do?"
 - severely limited
 - \Box limited but not severely

oxtimes not limited at all

- EU uses HLY to monitor population health in Europe with the aim of enabling EU citizens to lead healthy, active and independent lives while ageing (see www.healthyageing.eu).



Source: Own illustration with data from Eurostat.

Countries with high LE do not necessarily show also high HLY values

- Finland ranks much higher in terms of LE as compared to HLY.
- Bulgaria, on the other hand, shows the lowest LE value in EU-28 and ranks high on the basis of HLY.
- Austria's HLY level is comparatively low.

Source: Own alculations with data from www.eurohex.eu.

LE Rank HLY Rank Spain 86.3 Sweden 73.36 France 85.7 Malta 72 43 Italy 85.6 Ireland 69.8 Luxembourg 85.3 Cyprus 68.84 Cyprus 84.9 Bulgaria 67.55 Malta 84 4 Germany 67.37 Finland 84 4 Italy 67.19 Spain 66.51 Slovenia 84.3 Portugal 84.3 Greece 64.75 Sweden 84.1 Poland 64.65 Austria 84.1 Erance 64 13 Czech Republic 64.05 Greece 84 Belaium 84 Belgium 63.87 United Kinadom 63.12 Ireland 83.6 Germany 83.5 Denmark 60 41 Netherlands 83.2 Hungary 60.21 United Kingdom 83 Lithuania 59 42 Denmark 82.8 Romania 59.08 Estonia 82.2 Estonia 59.07 Czech Republic 82.1 Luxembourg 58.96 Poland 82 Croatia 58 71 Croatia 81.3 Slovenia 58.03 Slovakia 80.7 Netherlands 57 93 Lithuania 79.8 Portugal 57 47 Hungary 79.7 Austria 57.18 Latvia 79.6 Finland 57.13 Slovakia 56 99 Romania 79 1 Bulgaria 78.5 Latvia 54 95

Figure: LE and HLY ranking in 2016, women

Markus Sauerberg

WiC Colloquium

A closer Look at HLY Part I: What is the reason for the observed differences between LE and HLY?

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

HLY is calculated from death rates and prevalence data



Figure: GALI Prevalence for Women in 2016

Source: Own illustration with data from www.eurohex.eu.

Figure: Death Rates for Women in 2016

An experiment can help understanding the impact of health information on HLY

Idea:

- Download health and mortality data for the EU from www.eurohex.eu.
- Build a R function that calculates HLY on the basis of two arguments, country-specific mortality rates and country-specific prevalence data.
- The function call could look like HLY.function(mortality.data = "EU28average", health.data = "Austria").
- In order to test the impact of health data on HLY, calculate HLY for each country on the basis of "EU-28 average death rates", i.e., holding mortality constant.

HLY rank change after assuming each country experienced EU-28 mortality rates for women (left) and men (right)





Source: Own calculations with data from www.eurohex.eu (adapted from Di Lego & Sauerberg (submitted manuscript)). 🗆 + < 🗇 + < 🖹 + - 🖹 - 🗇 < 🔿

Markus Sauerberg	WiC Colloquium	11 / 21
------------------	----------------	---------

What is driving HLY changes over time? Another small exercise regarding HLY sensitivity

Question: What is the size of each effect, changes in age-specific prevalence and age-specific mortality rates?

- In 2016, HLY for men in Austria is 57.07 years.
- Reducing mortality rates at each age by 1% increases HLY to 57.12 years (+0.09\%).
- Reducing prevalence rates at each age by 1% increases HLY to 57.30 years (+0.40%). *Caution:* Only first preliminary results, not systematically tested yet.

A closer Look at HLY Part II: Does it hold for subpopulations and if yes, what are the implications?

э

イロト イヨト イヨト

Examining educational differentials: Can some of the between-country variation be explained by compositional effects

GALI Prevalence differs by educational attainment and European countries also vary in terms of their relative number of low-educated population. For instance, about 50 % of Italian women were low-educated in 2016, while the same share in Estonia was only 18 %.

Source: Own calculations with EU-SILC data.

Figure: GALI Prevalence by Education, Italian women



Again, standardization reveals the impact of population's composition by educational attainment on HLY

Country	Std. HLY	Original HLY	Change	Rank Change
Sweden	44.1	44.0	+0.0	1 ightarrow 1
Italy	40.2	38.5	+1.7	4 ightarrow 2
Norway	39.2	40.1	-0.9	2 ightarrow 3
Bulgaria	39.2	39.4	-0.2	3 ightarrow 4
Greece	37.7	36.0	+1.7	5 ightarrow 5
Poland	35.4	35.9	-0.6	6 ightarrow 6
Czech Rep.	34.6	35.9	-1.3	7 ightarrow 7
Denmark	33.3	35.1	-1.9	8 ightarrow 8
Hungary	32.0	32.1	-0.1	9 ightarrow 9
Romania	31.8	31.3	+0.6	10 ightarrow 10
Portugal	31.6	30.0	+1.6	15 ightarrow 11

Table: Education-adjusted and original HLY at age 30 for selected European countries, 2016, women

Source: Own calculations with data from EU-SILC (adapted from Sauerberg 2021).

A closer Look at HLY Part III: Are some of the HLY differences across Europe simply the result of noisy prevalence data?

э

▶ ∢ ⊒

How to deal with fluctuation and uncertainty in age-specific prevalence data?

GALI Prevalence is usually showing substantial fluctuations over time and thus, it is not always clear whether changes in GALI prevalence reflect actual improvements/deteriorations in population health or can be attributed to data uncertainty.

Source: Own illustration with data from www.eurohex.eu.

Figure: Prevalence for three points in time, Austrian women



Smoothing, curve fitting, age graduation: Does it matter?

Vanessa and I tested:

- Smoothed data vs. observed data.
- Assumptions about disability before age 16.
- Extrapolating prevalence to higher ages.

Source: Own illustration with data from www.eurohex.eu.

Figure: Prevalence for three points in time, Austrian women

Women in Austria, 2014



In some cases it does, but generally the effect is smaller than we expected

	Won	nen				Men		
0.75 -								
vevalence		X						
de-Specific F								
¥ 0.00	25 50	0 75	100 Ag	o e	25	50	75	100
	Type 🔶 G/	AM extrapolated	🗧 🗕 Half I	Disabili	tv 🔶 Pena	ltv 👄 Po	vnomial	

Figure: GALI Prevalence in Germany, 2017

	GAM	Half dis.	Penalty	Polynomial
Women	66.6	68.6	68.1	68.1
Men	64.9	66.5	66.3	66.3

Source: Own calculations with data from EU-SILC and HMD (adapted from Di Lego & Sauerberg (submitted manuscript).

- ▲日▼ ▲圖▼ ▲国▼ ▲国▼ ▲国 ◆ ④ ◆ ◎

Conclusions

- The HLY indicator is particulary sensitive to the health information.
- Countries differ considerbly in terms of their GALI prevalence and compensate mortality differences.
- This results in a disassociation of LE and HLY, e.g., Bulgaria and Finland.
- Difficult to correctly interpret differences in GALI prevalence (health inequalities or incomparable data).
- Great efforts have been made to harmonize and improve GALI and HLY, e.g., by the REVES network (see Jagger et al. 2020 and Robine et al. 2002).
- Maybe harmonization issues are not fully solvable but raising awareness is important in order to prevent misinterpretations, e.g., Brønnum-Hansen 2014.



This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 725187).

References

- Di Lego, V. and Sauerberg, M. (submitted manuscript). The Sensitivity of the Healthy Life Years Indicator: Approaches for Dealing with Age-Specific Prevalence Data.
- Brønnum-Hansen, H. (2014). Ranking health between countries in international comparisons Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 42:242-244.
- EU-SILC. This presentation is based on data from Eurostat, EU Statistics on Income and Living Conditions 2016. The responsibility for all conclusions drawn from the data lies entirely with the author).
- Eurostat. Your key to European statistics. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database.
- Eurohex. Database on health indicators comprising life expectancies and Healthy Life Years (HLY) for 28 European countries. European Health & Life Expectancy Information System (EHLEIS). Available at: www.eurohex.eu.
- Human Mortality Database (2022). Berkeley: University of California, Berkeley (USA); Rostock, Germany: Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research. Available from http://www.mortality.org.
- Jagger, C., Crimmins, E.M., Saito, Y., Yokota, R.T.C., Van Oyen, H., Robine, J.-M. (2020). International Handbook of Health Expectancies. Springer, Cham.
- Robine, J.M., Jagger, C., Mathers, C.D., Crimmins, E.M., Suzman, R.M. (2002). Determining Health Expectancies. Wiley.
- Sullivan D.F. (1971). A single index of mortality and morbidity. HSMHA health reports 86(4):347-354.
- Sauerberg, M. (2021). The impact of population's educational composition on Healthy Life Years: An empirical illustration of 16 European countries. SSM - Population Health 15(100857).
- Statistik Austria. Die Informationsmanager. Available at: www.statistik.at.

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト