

1. Akhavan-Tafti et al.: MMS examination of FTEs at the Earth's subsolar magnetopause, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1224-1241, doi:10.1002/2017JA024681, 2018.
2. Amerstorfer et al.: Ensemble prediction of a halo coronal mass ejection using heliospheric imagers, *Space Weather*, 16, 784–801, doi:10.1029/2017SW001786, 2018.
3. Andriopoulou et al.: Plasma density estimates from spacecraft potential using MMS observations in the dayside magnetosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 2620–2629, doi:10.1002/2017JA025086, 2018.
4. Argall et al.: Electron dynamics within the electron diffusion region of asymmetric reconnection, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 149-162, doi:10.1002/2017JA024524, 2018.
5. Artemyev et al.: Field-aligned currents originating from the magnetic reconnection region: conjugate MMS-ARTEMIS observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 5836–5844, doi:10.1029/2018GL078206, 2018.
6. Bagashvili et al.: Evidence for precursors of the coronal hole jets in solar bright points, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 855, L21, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aab08b, 2018.
7. Bisikalo et al.: Atmospheric mass loss from Hot Jupiters irradiated by stellar superflares, *Astrophys. J.*, 869, 2, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaed21, 2018.
8. Bourdin, P., A. Brandenburg: Magnetic helicity from multipolar regions on the solar surface , *Astrophys. J.*, 869, 3, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aae97f, 2018.
9. Bourdin et al.: Magnetic helicity reversal in the corona at small plasma beta, *Astrophys. J.*, 869, 2, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aae97a, 2018.
10. Bourdin et al.: Inner structure of CME shock fronts revealed by the electromotive force and turbulent transport coefficients in Helios-2 observations, *Astrophys. J.*, 855, 111, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaae04, 2018.
11. Breuillard et al.: New insights into the nature of turbulence in the Earth's magnetosheath using Magnetospheric MultiScale mission data, *Astrophys. J.*, 859, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aabae8, 2018.
12. Breuillard et al.: The properties of lion roars and electron dynamics in mirror-mode waves observed by the Magnetospheric MultiScale mission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 93-103, doi:10.1002/2017JA024551, 2018.
13. Burch et al.: Wave phenomena and beam-plasma interactions at the magnetopause reconnection region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1118-1133, doi:10.1002/2017JA024789, 2018.
14. Burch et al.: Localized oscillatory energy conversion in magnetopause reconnection, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 1237–1245, doi:10.1002/2017GL076809, 2018.
15. Chang et al.: Magnetic field near Venus: Comparison between solar cycle 24 and previous cycles, *Astrophys. J.*, 867, 129, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aae3e7, 2018.
16. Cheng et al.: Influence of the IMF cone angle on invariant latitudes of polar region footprints of FACs in the magnetotail: Cluster observation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 2588-2597, doi:10.1002/2017JA024941, 2018.
17. Chhiber et al.: Higher-order turbulence statistics in the Earth's magnetosheath and the solar wind using magnetospheric multiscale observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9941–9954, doi:10.1029/2018JA025768, 2018.
18. Chong et al.: A statistical study of ionospheric boundary wave formation at Venus, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 7668–7685, doi:10.1029/2018JA025644, 2018.

19. Collinson et al.: Solar Wind induced waves in the skies of Mars: Ionospheric compression, energization, and escape resulting from the impact of ultra-low frequency magnetosonic waves generated upstream of the Martian bow shock, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 7241–7256, doi:10.1029/2018JA025414, 2018.
20. Comisel et al.: On heating of solar wind protons by the parametric decay of large-amplitude Alfvén waves, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 1647–1655, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-1647-2018, 2018.
21. Dimmock et al.: The response of the Venusian plasma environment to the passage of an ICME: hybrid simulation results and Venus Express observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 3580–3601, doi:10.1029/2017JA024852, 2018.
22. Ergun et al.: Magnetic reconnection, turbulence, and particle acceleration: Observations in the Earth’s magnetotail, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 3338–3347, doi:10.1002/2018GL076993, 2018.
23. Farrugia et al.: Effects in the near-magnetopause magnetosheath elicited by large-amplitude Alfvénic fluctuations terminating in a field and flow discontinuity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 8983–9004, doi:10.1029/2018JA025724, 2018.
24. Fichtner et al.: Entropy of plasmas described with regularized κ distributions, *Phys. Rev. E*, 98, 053205, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.98.053205, 2018.
25. Friedrich et al.: FIRI-2018, an updated empirical model of the lower ionosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 6737–6751, doi:10.1029/2018JA025437, 2018.
26. Genestreti et al.: MMS observation of asymmetric reconnection supported by 3-D electron pressure divergence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1806–1821, doi:10.1002/2017JA025019, 2018.
27. Genestreti et al.: Assessing the time dependence of reconnection with Poynting's theorem: MMS observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 2886–2892, doi:10.1002/2017GL076808, 2018.
28. Genestreti et al.: How accurately can we measure the reconnection rate EM for the MMS diffusion region event of 2017-07-11?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9130–9149, doi:10.1029/2018JA025711, 2018.
29. Good et al.: Correlation of ICME magnetic fields at radially aligned spacecraft, *Solar Phys.*, 293, 52, doi:10.1007/s11207-018-1264-y, 2018.
30. Goodrich et al.: MMS observations of electrostatic waves in an oblique shock crossing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9430–9442, doi:10.1029/2018JA025830, 2018.
31. Graham et al.: Enhanced escape of spacecraft photoelectrons caused by langmuir and upper hybrid waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 7534–7553, doi:10.1029/2018JA025874, 2018.
32. Graham et al.: Large-amplitude high-frequency waves at Earth's magnetopause, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 2630–2657, doi:10.1002/2017JA025034, 2018.
33. Harrison et al.: CMEs in the heliosphere: I. A statistical analysis of the observational properties of CMEs detected in the heliosphere from 2007 to 2017 by STEREO/HI-1, *Solar Phys.*, 293, 37, doi:10.1007/s11207-018-1297-2, 2018.
34. Hesse et al.: On the role of separatrix instabilities in heating the reconnection outflow region, *Phys. Plasma*, 25, 122902, doi:10.1063/1.5054100, 2018.
35. Hesse et al.: The physical foundation of the reconnection electric field, *Phys. Plasma*, 25, 032901, doi:10.1063/1.5021461, 2018.
36. Hietala et al.: In situ observations of a magnetosheath high-speed jet triggering magnetopause reconnection, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 1732–1740, doi:10.1002/2017GL076525, 2018.
37. Holmes et al.: Electron phase-space holes in three dimensions: Multi-spacecraft observations by Magnetospheric MultiScale, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9963–9978, doi:10.1029/2018JA025750, 2018.

38. Karlsson et al.: Investigating the anatomy of magnetosheath jets – MMS observations, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 655-677, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-655-2018, 2018.
39. Kiehas et al.: Magnetotail fast flow occurrence rate and dawn-dusk asymmetry at XGSM \sim -60 RE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1767–1778, doi:10.1002/2017JA024776, 2018.
40. Korovin'skiy et al.: On application of asymmetric Kan-like exact equilibria to the Earth magnetotail modeling, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 641–653, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-641-2018, 2018.
41. Korovin'skiy et al.: On the influence of the local maxima of total pressure on the current sheet stability to the kink-like (flapping) mode, *Phys. Plasma*, 25, 022904, doi: 10.1063/1.5016934, 2018.
42. Liu et al.: Strongly localized magnetic reconnection by the super-Alfvénic shear flow, *Phys. Plasma*, 25, 080701, doi:10.1063/1.5042539, 2018.
43. Meng et al.: Error properties of the fluxgate magnetometer offset based on Davis-Smith method, *Chinese J. Geophys. - Chinese Ed.*, 61, 3545-3551, doi:10.6038/cjg2018L0264, 2018.
44. Möstl et al.: Forward modeling of coronal mass ejection flux ropes in the inner heliosphere with 3DCORE, *Space Weather*, 16, 216–229, doi:10.1002/2017SW001735, 2018.
45. Murphy et al.: Determining the mode, frequency, and azimuthal wave number of ULF waves during a HSS and moderate geomagnetic storm, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 6457–6477. doi:10.1029/2017JA024877, 2018.
46. Nakamura et al.: Multi-scale currents observed by MMS in the flow braking region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1260-1278, doi:10.1002/2017JA024686, 2018.
47. Nakamura et al.: Remote sensing of the reconnection electric field from in-situ multipoint observations of the separatrix boundary, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 3829-3837, doi:10.1029/2018GL078340, 2018.
48. Nakamura et al.: Measurement of the magnetic reconnection rate in the Earth's magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9150–9168, doi:10.1029/2018JA025713, 2018.
49. Narita, Y.: Space–time structure and wavevector anisotropy in space plasma turbulence, *Living Rev. Sol. Phys.*, 15, 1-48, 10.1007/s41116-017-0010-0, 2018.
50. Narita, Y., T. Hada: Density response to magnetic field fluctuation in the foreshock plasma, *Earth Planets Space*, 70, 171, doi:10.1186/s40623-018-0943-0, 2018.
51. Narita, Y., U. Motschmann: Can an interplanetary magnetic field reach the surface of Venus?, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 1537–1543, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-1537-2018, 2018.
52. Narita, Y., Z. Vörös: Evaluation of electromotive force in interplanetary space, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 101-106, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-101-2018, 2018.
53. Norgren et al.: Electron reconnection in the magnetopause current layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 9222-9238, doi:10.1029/2018JA025676, 2018.
54. Palmerio et al.: Coronal magnetic structure of earthbound CMEs and in situ comparison, *Space Weather*, 16, doi:10.1002/2017SW001767, 2018.
55. Palmroth et al.: Magnetosheath jet properties and evolution as determined by a global hybrid-Vlasov simulation, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 1171–1182, doi:10.5194/angeo-2018-20, 2018.
56. Panov, E.V., P.L. Pritchett: Ion cyclotron waves rippling ballooning/interchange instability heads, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 8261–8274, doi:10.1029/2018JA025603, 2018.
57. Panov, E.V., P.L. Pritchett: Dawnward-drifting interchange heads in the Earth's magnetotail, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 8834–8843, doi:10.1029/2018GL078482, 2018.

58. Paschmann et al.: Large-scale survey of the structure of the dayside magnetopause by MMS, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 2018–2033, doi:10.1002/2017JA025121, 2018.
59. Phan et al.: Electron magnetic reconnection without ion coupling in Earth’s turbulent magnetosheath, *Nature Lett.*, 557, 202–206, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0091-5, 2018.
60. Plaschke et al.: Advanced calibration of magnetometers on spin-stabilized spacecraft based on parameter decoupling, *Geosci. Instrum.*, doi:10.5194/gi-2018-45, 2018.
61. Plaschke, F., H. Hietala: Plasma flow patterns in and around magnetosheath jets, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 395–703, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-695-2018, 2018.
62. Plaschke et al.: Jets downstream of collisionless shocks, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 214, 81, doi:10.1007/s11214-018-0516-3, 2018.
63. Plaschke et al.: First observations of magnetic holes deep within the coma of a comet, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 618, A114, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833300, 2018.
64. Roberts et al.: Ion-scale kinetic Alfvén turbulence: MMS measurements of the Alfvén ratio in the magnetosheath, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 7974–7984, doi:10.1029/2018GL078498, 2018.
65. Roberts et al.: Three-dimensional density and compressible magnetic structure in solar wind turbulence, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 527–539, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-527-2018, 2018.
66. Roberts et al.: Multi-scale analysis of compressible fluctuations in the solar wind, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 47–52, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-47-2018, 2018.
67. Shan et al.: The quasi-monochromatic ULF wave boundary in the Venusian foreshock: Venus Express Observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 374–384, doi:10.1002/2017JA024054, 2018.
68. Srivastava et al.: Confined pseudo-shocks as an energy source for the active solar corona, *Nature Astron. Lett.*, doi:10.1038/s41550-018-0590-1, 2018.
69. Stawarz et al.: Intense electric fields and electron-scale substructure within magnetotail flux ropes as revealed by the Magnetospheric Multiscale mission, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 8783–8792, doi:10.1029/2018GL079095, 2018.
70. Sturmer et al.: On multiple Hall-like electron currents and tripolar guide magnetic field perturbations during Kelvin-Helmholtz waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 1305–1324, doi:10.1002/2017JA024155, 2018.
71. Teh et al.: Oblique ion-scale magnetotail flux ropes generated by secondary tearing modes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 8122–8130, doi:10.1029/2018JA025775, 2018.
72. Temmer et al.: Coronal hole evolution from multi-viewpoint data as input for a STEREO solar wind speed persistence model, *J. Space Weather Space Clim.*, 8, A18, doi:swsc170088, 2018.
73. Torbert et al.: Electron-scale dynamics of the diffusion region during symmetric magnetic reconnection in space, *Science*, 362, 1391–1395, doi:10.1126/science.aat2998, 2018.
74. Treumann, R.A., W. Baumjohann: Electron mirror branch: observational evidence from “historical” AMPTE-IRM and Equator-S measurements, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 1563–1576, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-1563-2018, 2018.
75. Treumann, R.A., W. Baumjohann: The differential cosmic ray energy flux in the light of an ultrarelativistic generalized Lorentzian thermodynamics, *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 363, 37, doi:10.1007/s10509-018-3255-8, 2018.
76. Treumann, R.A., W. Baumjohann: The mirror mode: a “superconducting” space plasma analogue, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 1015–1026, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-1015-2018, 2018.

77. Umeda, T., T.K.M. Nakamura: Electromagnetic linear dispersion relation for plasma with a drift across magnetic field revisited, *Phys. Plasma*, 28, 102109, doi:10.1063/1.5050542, 2018.
78. Volwerk, M.: On the location of the Io plasma torus: Voyager 1 observations, *Ann. Geophys.*, 36, 831-839, doi:10.5194/angeo-36-831-2018, 2018.
79. Volwerk et al.: A tail like no other - The RPC-MAG view of Rosetta's tail excursion at comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 614, A10, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201832198, 2018.
80. Wang et al.: Impacts of magnetosheath high-speed jets on the magnetosphere and ionosphere measured by optical imaging and satellite observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 4879-4894, doi:10.1029/2017JA024954, 2018.
81. Wang et al.: An electron-scale current sheet without bursty reconnection signatures observed in the near-Earth tail, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 4542-4549, doi:10.1002/2017GL076330, 2018.
82. Wang et al.: Understanding the twist distribution inside magnetic flux ropes by anatomizing an interplanetary magnetic cloud, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 3238-3261, doi:10.1002/2017JA024971, 2018.
83. Webster et al.: Magnetospheric multiscale dayside reconnection electron diffusion region events, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 4858-4878, doi:10.1029/2018JA025245, 2018.
84. Wilder et al.: The role of the parallel electric field in electron-scale dissipation at reconnecting currents in the magnetosheath, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 6533-6547, doi:10.1029/2018JA025529, 2018.
85. Wu et al.: Electron acceleration behind a wavy dipolarization front, *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 363, 22, doi:10.1007/s10509-017-3241-6, 2018.
86. Xiao, S.D., T.L. Zhang: Solar cycle variation of the venus magnetic barrier, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 158, 53-62, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2018.05.006, 2018.
87. Xiao et al.: Magnetic fluctuations and turbulence in the Venusian magnetosheath downstream of different types of bow shock, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 123, 8219-8226, doi:10.1029/2018JA025250, 2018.
88. Yu et al.: The magnetic field geometry of small solarwind flux ropes inferred from their twist distribution, *Solar Phys.*, 293, 165, doi:10.1007/s11207-018-1385-3, 2018.
89. Yushkov et al.: Hall effect in laboratory and space current sheets, *Plasma Phys. Rep.*, 44, 1126-1134, doi:10.1134/S1063780X18120085, 2018.
90. Zaqarashvili T., E. Gurgenashvili: Magneto-rossby waves and seismology of solar interior, *Astron. Space Sci.*, 5, 7, doi:10.3389/fspas.2018.00007, 2018.
91. Zaqarashvili, T.: Equatorial magnetohydrodynamic shallow water waves in the solar tachocline, *Astrophys. J.*, 856, 32, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab26f, 2018.
92. Zhelyazkov et al.: Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a twisting solar polar coronal hole jet observed by SDO/AIA, *Adv. Space Res.*, 69, 628-638, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2017.06.003, 2018.